## Case 2:25-cv-00748-JDP Document 6 Filed 04/29/25 Page 2 of 2

v. U.S. Forest Serv., 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988). Involuntary dismissal is a harsh penalty, but a district court has a duty to administer justice expeditiously and avoid needless burden for the parties. See Pagtalunan v. Galaza, 291 F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002); Fed. R. Civ. P. 1.

Plaintiff will be given a chance to explain why the court should not dismiss his case based on his failure to either pay the filing fee or submit a complete application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Plaintiff's failure to respond to this order will constitute another failure to comply with a court order and will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. Accordingly, plaintiff is ordered to show cause within twenty-one days why this case should not be dismissed for failure to pay the filing fee, failure to prosecute, and failure to comply with court orders. Should plaintiff wish to continue with this action, he must, within twenty-one days, either pay the required filing fee or submit a complete application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Clerk of Court is directed to send to plaintiff the court's form application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

JERÉMY D. PETERSON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>April 29, 2025</u>